

1 JAMES L. JACOBS, State Bar No. 158277
2 ROBERT W. LUCKINBILL, State Bar No. 131977
3 GCA LAW PARTNERS LLP
4 1891 Landings Drive
5 Mountain View, CA 94043
6 Telephone: (650) 428-3900
7 Facsimile: (650) 428-3901
8 Attorneys for Defendant
9 DRS Tactical Systems, Inc.
10
11

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14 SAN JOSE DIVISION
15

16 PALPILOT INTERNATIONAL
17 CORPORATION, a California corporation

18 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 DRS TACTICAL SYSTEMS, INC., a
21 Florida corporation, and DOES 1 to 10,
22 inclusive

23 Defendant

No. C07 02481 RS

STIPULATION TO EXTEND THE TIME
WITHIN WHICH TO ANSWER OR
OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE
COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

[Pursuant to Local Rule 6.1 (a)]

24 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Palpilot International Corporation ("Palpilot") initially
25 commenced this action in the Superior Court of California in and for the County of Santa
26 Clara, as Case Number 107CV0833091;

27 WHEREAS, Defendant DRS Tactical Systems, Inc. ("DRS Tactical") filed a Notice
28 Of Removal Of Action Pursuant To 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) ("Notice of Removal") with the
United States District Court for the Northern District of California on May 8, 2007;

WHEREAS, the initial deadline for DRS Tactical to answer or otherwise respond to
the Complaint ("Complaint") was May 16, 2007;

1 WHEREAS, the initial deadline for Palpilot to file a motion to remand, pursuant to
2 U.S.C. § 1447(c), is 30 days after the filing of the Notice of Removal, or June 7, 2007;

3 WHEREAS, pursuant to an earlier stipulation, the parties agreed to extend the
4 deadlines for (a) DRS to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint (to May 28, 2007)
5 and (b) Palpilot to file a motion to remand pursuant to U.S.C. § 1447(c) (to June 18, 2007).

6 WHEREAS, the parties are presently engaged in the informal exchange of
7 information and settlement discussions in an effort to determine whether an early
8 resolution of the dispute can be reached and agree that they would like to minimize the
9 costs of the litigation pending those efforts;

10 WHEREAS, the parties wish to further extend the deadline for DRS Tactical to
11 answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint and for Palpilot to file its motion to remand
12 should it choose to do so pending the parties' efforts to exchange information and discuss
13 settlement;

14 WHEREAS, the parties agree that the contemplated extension of this deadline will
15 not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court order in this matter.

16 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN THE
17 PARTIES HERETO THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL, AS FOLLOWS:

18 1. DRS Tactical shall have until June 11, 2007 to answer or otherwise respond
19 to the Complaint.

20 2. Palpilot shall have until July 2, 2007 to file a motion to remand pursuant to
21 U.S.C. § 1447(c).

22 3. Counsel for DRS Tactical hereby attests that the concurrence of Mr. Lew,
23 counsel for Palpilot, to file this Stipulation has been obtained.

24 Dated: May 24, 2007

GCA LAW PARTNERS LLP


James L. Jacobs

Attorneys for Defendant
DRS Tactical Systems, Inc.

1 Dated: May 24, 2007

STEPHEN K. LEW, PROF. LAW CORP.



Stephen K. Lew

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Palpilot International Corporation

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Stipulation to Extend Time

-3-